

Redwood County Ditch Authority

Re: Redwood County JD No 6
Redetermination of Benefits

April 4, 2024

In accordance with the Minnesota Statute 103E.351, we herewith submit the following viewers' report:

Benefits and Damages Statement

This report covers the redetermination of benefits for a previously constructed drainage system. The method for determining benefits and damages is based upon a comparison of the conditions that would have existed prior to the ditch system's construction with those that do exist with the drainage system in a reasonable state of repair.

Redwood County JD No 6 was petitioned for and established in 1909. The net benefits were \$2,263.50 and the cost was \$1,523.95. There was an improvement in 1961 which added 93 acres. The added net benefits were \$13,584.00 and the cost was \$11,519.27.

JD No 6 is a tile system which flows north and east. It drains into a natural stream in Section 18 of Redwood Falls Township and then empties into the Redwood River. It drains parts of Sections 18 and 19 of Redwood Falls Township (T112N-R36W) and parts of Sections 13 and 24 of Sheridan Township (T112N-R37W).

The field observations for the watershed and land classification were done in June of 2023. We made an on-site inspection of each 40 acre or smaller parcel. There are approximately 445 acres benefited within this watershed.

Supporting documentation for the analysis and conclusion of the report are contain in our files are re available for inspection.

The figures state herein are based on a full and fair consideration of all pertinent facts and formation that we were aware of at the time of the appraisal. The following aids were used during the viewing process.

- Soil survey manual and maps of Redwood County
- FSA aerial photos
- Topographical and LiDAR maps
- Yield averages and production costs taken from the Farm Business Management Reports
- Visual inspection of each 40 acre parcel
- Original maps and profiles
- Sales data from the Redwood County Assessor's Office

Land classification benefit values are based upon an increase in the potential for agricultural production as a result of constructing the drainage project and reconciled with sales value increases. Existing individual land management practices were considered. All present land use was evaluated under estimated best land management practice. Consideration was given to areas which were determined to be a native/non-converted condition, government lands, and permanent set-aside acres (RIM, CREP).

Valuation Prior To Drainage

Beginning land use, property value, and economic productivity have been determined with the consideration that the benefited properties within the watershed originally did not have an adequate outlet for artificial drainage.

"A" Standing water or cattail, wetland classification with a market value for agricultural purposes of \$0.00 per acre, economic productivity of \$0.00

"B" Seasonally flooded/pasture ground. Pasture classification with a market value \$4000 to \$5000 per acre, economic productivity of \$70 based on grazing days and/or hay values.

"C" Wet subsoil-Marginal crop land, low to medium crop land classification with a market value of \$7000 to \$8000 per acre, annual economic productivity of \$686.00 based upon average annual yield of 80% of optimum with \$380.00 production costs.

"D" Upland areas no needing artificial drainage, but irregular in shape and intermixed with wetter soils. Medium to high cropland classification with a market value of \$6000 to \$7000 per acre, annual economic productivity of \$814.63 based upon average annual yield of 95% of optimum with \$380.00 production costs.

Valuation with NRCS Recommended Drainage

Potential land use, property value, and economic productivity, after public and private drainage have been installed as per NRCS design standards as recommended in the Minnesota Drainage Guide, using current crop rotation, income, and expense:

"A" Drained slough area, medium classification land with a market value of \$6000 to \$7000 per acre, economic productivity of \$728.88 based upon average annual production of 90% of optimum with \$380.00 production costs.

"B" Well drained ground, high land classification with a market value of \$8000 to \$9000 per acre, economic productivity of \$771.75 based upon average annual production of 90% of optimum with \$380.00 production costs.

"C" Well drained ground, highest land classification with an estimated market value of \$10,000 to \$11,000 per acre, economic productivity of \$840.35 based upon average annual production of 98% of optimum with \$380.00 production costs.

"D" Well drained ground, high land classification with improved farmability and market value of \$9000 to \$10,000 per acre, economic productivity of \$857.50 based upon average production of 100% of optimum with \$380.00 production costs.

Some acres were assigned a land classification of "A-". These acres were benefited by the ditch by converting them from standing water to hay or pasture. A "D-" classification was assigned to the building site acres. An industrial classification was assigned to animal confinements and large bin systems.

Utilizing these productive values, potential benefits values were determined for the system based upon a 25 year effective life with proper maintenance, private improvement cost depreciated over the same 25 year period, and an allowance of 3% return on the system investment.

Increase Productivity Evaluation

<u>CROP</u>	<u>YIELD</u>	<u>VALUE</u>	<u>INCOME</u>	<u>%</u>	<u>ADJUSTED</u>
Corn	200	\$5.00	\$1000	50%	\$500.00
Beans	55	\$13.00	\$715.00	50%	<u>\$357.00</u>
					\$857.00

Costs

Corn	\$534.57 X 50% = \$267.29
Beans	\$225.57 X 50% = <u>\$112.79</u>
	\$380.00

BENEFITS

	<u>"A"</u>	<u>"B"</u>	<u>"C"</u>	<u>"D"</u>
	85% of \$857.50 = \$728.88	90% of \$857.50 = \$771.75	98% of \$857.50 = \$840.35	100% of \$857.50 = \$857.50
Minus cost				
Of production	<u>\$380.00</u>	<u>\$380.00</u>	<u>\$380.00</u>	<u>\$380.00</u>
Net income	\$348.88	\$391.75	\$460.35	\$477.50
Previous income	<u>\$ 0.00</u>	<u>\$ 70.00</u>	<u>\$306.00</u>	<u>\$434.63</u>
Increase	\$348.88	\$321.75	\$154.35	\$ 42.88
Private costs	<u>\$ 44.00</u>	<u>\$ 44.00</u>	<u>\$ 34.00</u>	<u>\$ 0.00</u>
Annual increase	\$304.88	\$277.75	\$120.35	\$ 42.87
Capitalized for				
25 yrs. @ 3%	\$5308.82	\$4836.49	\$2095.67	\$ 745.00
Rounded to	\$5310.00	\$4835.00	\$2095.00	\$745.00

Proximity adjustments were made to allow for construction of the public or private laterals required to improve the drainage capacity to meet the NRCS recommendation.

The viewers' report of acres benefited show the amount of each type of soil classification ('A'; 'A-'; 'B'; 'C'; 'D'; 'D-') and the value for each type based on potential increased agricultural production. The proximity factor was applied to arrive at the net benefits.

Road benefits were determined with consideration of the reduced construction and maintenance costs that were realized after construction of the drainage system.

Tile benefits were given to reflect the additional value added as the ditch system tile provides one of the normal lines of tiles for subsurface drainage.

This report is respectfully submitted to the Redwood County Ditch Authority by:

Steven Johnson

Jim Weidemann

Todd Hammer
